#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

REC'D 0: 1 MAR 2005

To:
PARK, Hyeong-Keun

GAIN INTERNATIONAL PATENT & LAW FIRM Room No. 502, Jeongjin Bldg. Bangbae 1(il)-dong, Seocho-gu Seoul 137-844 Republic of Korea

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 24 FEBRUARY 2005 (24.02.2005) FOR FURTHER ACTION Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraph 2 below GAPCT1218 Priority date(day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 14 JANUARY 2004 (14.01.2004) PCT/KR2004/002265 **07 SEPTEMBER 2004 (07.09.2004)** International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC7 F23N 5/02 **Applicant** CNTEK, CORP. et al

١.	This	opinion contain	s indications relating to the following items:
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
		Box No. II	Priority
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
		Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
		Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application

#### 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR



Korean Intellectual Property Office 920 Dunsan-dong, Seo-gu, Daejeon 302-701, Republic of Korea

Facsimile No. 82-42-472-7140

Authorized officer

PARK, HYUN SOO

Telephone No. 82-42-481-8114



International application No.

PCT/KR2004/002265

ith regard to the languanich it was filed, unless	ge, this opinion has been established therwise indicated under this ite	shed on the basis of em.	f the international	application in the la	inguage in
This opinion has be	n established on the basis of a t , which is the language of	ranslation from the	original language shed for the purpo	into the following oses of international	language search (unde
Rules 12.3 and 23.1					. •
ith regard to any nucl	eotide and/or amino acid sequation has been established on the	uence disclosed in e basis of:	the international	application and nec	essary to the
type of material					
a sequence listing	•				
table(s) related to	the sequence listing				
format of material					
in wirtten format		•		•	
in computer read	ble form				
		•			
ime of filing/furnishing	• •			•	
<del>-</del>	nternational application as filed				•
	h the international application in		e form.		
furnished subseq	ently to this Authority for the p	surposes of search.	•	• •	• • •
•	•			•	
in the application as:	iled or does not go beyond the a		bsequent or addition as appropriate, we		
					car to that
					car to that
					car to that
					car to that
					car to that
			as appropriate, we		
			as appropriate, we	ere furnished.	
			as appropriate, we	ere furnished.	
			as appropriate, we	ere furnished.	
			as appropriate, we	ere furnished.	
	iled or does not go beyond the a		as appropriate, we	ere furnished.	
	iled or does not go beyond the a	pplication as filed,	as appropriate, we	ere furnished.	
	iled or does not go beyond the a	pplication as filed,	as appropriate, we	ere furnished.	
	iled or does not go beyond the a	pplication as filed,	as appropriate, we	ere furnished.	
in the application as a	iled or does not go beyond the a	pplication as filed,	as appropriate, we	ere furnished.	
	iled or does not go beyond the a	pplication as filed,	as appropriate, we	ere furnished.	
	iled or does not go beyond the a	pplication as filed,	as appropriate, we	ere furnished.	

International application No.

PCT/KR2004/002265

questions whether the claimed inve	ention appears to be novel, to involve	ve step and industrial applicability ve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be
ustrially applicable have not been e	xamined in respect of:	·
the entire international application	n ·	
claims Nos. 3 - 10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
because:	andha acid alaima Nan	
the said international application relate to the following subject m	atter which does not require an intern	ational preliminary examination (specify):
•	•	
·		•
	•	
•		
•		
the description, claims or drawi	ngs (indicate particular elements belo	w) or said claims Nos. 3 - 10
are so unclear that no meaningf	ul opinion could be formed (specify):	
See Supplemental Box		
·	•	
•		
	•	
•		
	•	
the claims, or said claims Nos.		are so inadequately suppor
by the description that no mea	ningful opinion could be formed.	·
no international search report	nas been established for said claims N	ios
	id company listing does not comply y	with the standard provided for in Annex C of the
Administrative Instructions in	id sequence fishing does not comply withat:	Alfi file Smileting broaden zer was market er er ere
		•
the written form	has not been furnished	
•	does not comply with the stand	dard.
the computer readable form	has not been furnished	•
•	does not comply with the stand	dard.
the tables related to the nucleo	tide and/or amino acid sequence listing	ng, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with injective Instructions
the technical requirements pro	vided for in Annex C-bis of the Admi	monanae monane.
	ther details.	
See Supplemental Box for fur		
See Supplemental Box for fur		·
See Supplemental Box for fur		
See Supplemental Box for fur		

International application No. PCT/KR2004/002265

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention	
1. In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay ac	dditional fees the applicant has:
paid additional fees	
paid additional fees under protest	
not paid additional fees	
2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention to pay additional fees.	tion is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of inv	ention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
complied with	
not complied with for the following reasons:	-
•	for the unity of invention set forth in PCT Articles vident "a priori" that the independent claims relate non.
Claimed invention (i): claims 1 and 2 are directed	d to a thermally operated valve:
While it can be said that the claimed invention	to an automatic circulation device of warm water.  (i) is one of the special technical features of the not provide a required novel concept in that the
-	
	•
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the	following parts of the international application:
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the all parts.	following parts of the international application:
	following parts of the international application:

International application No.

PCT/KR2004/002265

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Statement Novelty (N)	Claims	1, 2	<u> </u>	YES
	Claims			NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims			YES
	Claims	1, 2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1, 2	·	YES
	Claims			NO

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP 55813 A D2: JP 64-24177 A

Claim 1 is directed to a gas flow rate control valve and claim 2 is directed to a gas flow open/close valve. However, the subject matters of claims 1 and 2 are very much the same in that the valves are thermally operated.

D1 discloses a thermally operated safety-valve for use in a hot-water heating installation, comprising a valve housing having an inlet, an outlet, a closing spring, a seal ring, and a temperature sensor of vapor pressure type.

D2 discloses a heat sensing cylinder-rod actuator comprising a cylinder, a spring, a rod and a volatile evaporating substance.

#### **Novelty**

None of the prior art documents D1 and D2 disclose a valve case having an expanded bottom part. Hence, the subject matters of claims 1 and 2 seem to be novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

#### **Inventive Step**

The valve case having the expanded part is considered to be a slight constructional change which comes within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art as the advantages thus achieved can readily be foreseen. Consequently, the subject matters of claim 1 and 2 lack an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	PCT/KR2004/002265
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application	
The following defects in the form or contents of the international application	have been noted:
Figures 4 and 9 are not clear. Said figures show that the temperature control verterence signs.	valve (50) and the nozzle support (50) have the same
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	•
	· .
•	
·	
•	
	•
	,

International application No.

PCT/KR2004/002265

Roy No	vm	Cartain	observations of	the international	application
DUA 11U.	VIII.	Certain	ODRET ASTRODS OF	i Méillei Mariona.	bbiicamon

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

It is understood from the description that a burning control means activated by the temperature of a boiler is essential for the automatic circulation of the present invention but it is not properly described in claim 3. Therefore, claim 3 does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 taken in combination with PCT Rule 6.3(b) that any independent claim must contain all the technical features essential to the definition of the invention.

International application No.

PCT/KR2004/002265

#### Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of:

Box No. III

Claim 3-10 are so unclear that no opinion could be formulated as to novelty or inventive step. The problem is that the working of the automatic circulation device of claim 3-10 leaves the reader in doubt. According to the description, the water circulation of the device is caused by the vapor pressure created by heating of the boiler, so no other pressurizing means is needed. But, there is much doubt whether the vapor pressure of the circulation pipes could be increased large enough to render the water circulate because it is described in the description that water in the boiler won't be heated up to 100°C by the function of the gas control valve. Since, a specific volume of water doesn't increase much before boiling, the pressure rise in the boiler of the present invention would result in just a slight change.